

100285 2.3

From: Robert S. Davis (BDAVIS)
To: KLOSE
Date: Friday, May 7, 1993 2:51 pm
Subject: STD. CHL.: PRP RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

Kate

The only response that appears to be of interest to our concern is No. 5 of the Responses on Remedial Alternatives. They seem to have missed part of the point in your comment with regard to ecological restoration. Not only do they save money, but the Natural Resources Trustees will be overjoyed if they reclaim the area in keeping with principles of ecology. The opportunity for ecological/habitat diversity alone is worth the effort, from the Trustees' viewpoints, while the savings O & M costs to the PRP should be of high interest to them.

It might also be a good idea now to let them know that NOAA has come in with the very restrictive targets sent to you recently via a BTAG memo. They should know that these may serve as numbers that Trustee agency will pursue in the long run.

Thanks,

Bob

CC: EJOHNSON

AR307576